

The background of the cover is a photograph of a field of pink flowers, possibly cornflowers, with green stems and leaves. The flowers are in various stages of bloom, and the background is slightly blurred, creating a sense of depth.

# Trends in **Plant Science**

Volume 25 Number 11  
November 2020  
ISSN 1360-1385

## **Weeds: Against the Rules?**

**CellPress**  
REVIEWS

## Review

## Weeds: Against the Rules?

Lucie Mahaut,<sup>1,\*</sup> Pierre-Olivier Cheptou,<sup>1</sup> Guillaume Fried,<sup>2</sup> François Munoz,<sup>3</sup> Jonathan Storkey,<sup>4</sup> François Vasseur,<sup>1,5</sup> Cyrille Violle,<sup>1</sup> and François Bretagnolle<sup>6</sup>

**Establishing laws of plant and ecosystems functioning has been an overarching objective of functional and evolutionary ecology. However, most theories neglect the role of human activities in creating novel ecosystems characterized by species assemblages and environmental factors that are not observed in natural systems. We argue that agricultural weeds, as an emblematic case of such an ‘ecological novelty’, constitute an original and underutilized model for challenging current concepts in ecology and evolution. We highlight key aspects of weed ecology and evolutionary biology that can help to test and recast ecological and evolutionary laws in a changing world. We invite ecologists to seize upon weeds as a model system to improve our understanding of the short-term and long-term dynamics of ecological systems in the Anthropocene.**

### Novelty as a Challenge

Ecologists and evolutionary biologists have always sought repeated patterns that reveal the universal laws of biological function and diversification. Several general theories have been proposed to define the ecological and evolutionary processes that explain diversity within and across levels of organization, and also across temporal and spatial scales. However, these theories are mostly inspired by natural or semi-natural ecosystems, and theoretical models are developed under idealized conditions such as population equilibrium or non-limiting resource conditions for plant growth. These theories largely neglect the role of human activities in creating novel ecosystems with original species assemblages and environmental factors. Such ‘ecological novelties’ represent new frontiers of knowledge and create opportunities to challenge widely accepted theories [1], which, in line with Popper’s view of science, is a key aspect of the development of theory.

The emergence of agriculture during the Neolithic period is perhaps the most widespread example of a driver of novel ecosystems. It created new habitats for numerous plant species [2] (so-called agricultural weeds) which now cover >40% of the terrestrial surface [3]. At the scale of the cropped field, weed communities represent melting pots of plant species with various biogeographic and ecological backgrounds and whose local assembly results as much from the movement of crops and civilizations as from ecological rules (Box 1). In addition, agricultural practices result in environmental conditions that are distinct from conditions in non-cultivated habitats, notably in term of disturbances and resource gradients (Box 2). Mechanical and chemical weeding also impose highly specific and strong selection pressures on weed communities [4]. New species combinations and environmental factors in cultivated fields can, therefore, lead to new forms of ecological and evolutionary dynamics that are difficult to capture using well-established theories.

In this review we argue that weeds in cropped fields provide a valuable but underutilized model for challenging conceptual foundation stones in both ecology and evolution in the context of the current era that is characterized by rapid, human-mediated change [5]. We discuss how our understanding of the short-term and long-term diversification and dynamics of ecological systems should benefit from the study of weeds. In turn, better knowledge of weed ecology

### Highlights

Agricultural weeds constitute an original model to understand the impact of anthropogenic changes on ecological and evolutionary dynamics.

A combination of environmental factors in cultivated fields has driven the selection of novel functional trait combinations in agricultural weeds. Therefore, agricultural weeds can be considered as rule breakers of ecological and evolutionary laws.

Weeds in cropped fields are particularly valuable for assessing the consequences of out-of-equilibrium and transient dynamics on community assembly.

Weed herbicide resistance and crop mimicry syndromes represent some of the best-documented examples of rapid evolution in plants and provide a promising context for the study of eco-evolutionary feedbacks.

<sup>1</sup>CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, UnivPaul Valéry Montpellier 3, Montpellier, France

<sup>2</sup>Anses, Laboratoire de la Santé des Végétaux, Unité Entomologie et Plantes invasives, 755 avenue du Campus Agropolis, 34988 Montpellier-sur-Lez, France

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire de Physique (LIPhy), Université de Grenoble-Alpes, Grenoble, France

<sup>4</sup>Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Hertfordshire, AL5 2JQ, UK

<sup>5</sup>Laboratoire d’Ecophysiologie des Plantes sous Stress Environnementaux (LEPSE), Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRAE), Montpellier SupAgro, UMR 759, 34000 Montpellier, France

<sup>6</sup>Université Bourgogne Franche Comte, Biogeosciences, UMR 6282, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Dijon, France





**Box 1. How to Become an Agricultural Weed?**

Three roads can lead a plant species to become a weed: invasion of fields by wild species, crop–wild hybridization, and crop dedomestication [72,92].

First, crop domestication during the Neolithic resulted in the construction of a new human-made ecological niche – the agricultural fields in different parts of the world [93]. At this moment, and in each center of plant domestication, numerous locally preadapted plants were able to colonize cultivated fields [94]. These proto-weeds probably evolved locally according to the man-made selective pressures (the first agricultural practices) over millennia because plant cultivation started long before crop domestication, at least in the Levant [95]. Some of these species still exist in both cultivated and non-cultivated habitats (i.e., apophytes), whereas others such as *Lolium temulentum*, *Bromus secalinus*, *Agrostemma githago*, and *Vaccaria hispanica* are only known in agricultural habitats (i.e., anecophytes).

Second, the expansion phase of agriculture has then carried out secondary contact among previously isolated populations or species, both domesticated and wild, generating admixture or hybridization [96,97]. Hybridization has triggered the emergence and diversification of many emblematic weed species such as *Capsella bursa-pastoris* [98], *Veronica persica* [99], and *Chenopodium album* [100]. Moreover, during the expansion phase, preadapted plant species from the newly cultivated areas could enter the field, thereby adding new species [2].

Finally, some contemporary weed species are the result of dedomestication from cultivated ancestors (e.g., weedy rice, *Oryza* sp. [101]; weedy radish, *Raphanus* sp. [102]). By definition, these feral species are highly adapted to early agricultural practices. This can explain why these weed species are notoriously the most problematic in contemporary farming [102].

These various processes make modern weed communities a unique assemblage of species with diverse biogeographic origins and evolutionary histories.

\*Correspondence:  
lucie.mahaut1@gmail.com and  
lucie.mahaut@cefe.cnrs.fr (L. Mahaut).

and evolutionary biology should help to explain and predict their dynamics in cultivated fields, which will be necessary to develop innovative weed management schemes that consider both the services (e.g., pollination [6,7]) and disservices (e.g., yield loss [8,9]) provided by weeds [10].

**Ecological Outliers: Why and How Can Weeds Challenge Functional Ecology**

Functional ecology has long been searching for repeated patterns in the phenotypic diversity of life [11–14]. These patterns reflect the existence of common physiological and biophysical constraints that structure the ‘phenotypic space’ of organisms and govern their ability to adapt to novel environments [13,15]. They are at the basis of major theories in functional ecology and macroecology [12,16,17]. For instance, in plants, the leaf economics spectrum describes leaf covariation of physiological and morphological traits that emerge from evolutionary tradeoffs between resource-acquisition and resource-conservation strategies [18]. Most plant species seem to fall along this physiological tradeoff [17]. However, these phenotypic patterns mostly

**Box 2. Environmental Gradients in Cultivated Fields**


Environmental conditions in cultivated fields include both local pedoclimatic conditions and farming practices. Farming practices such as tillage and weeding correspond to major disturbance events in arable fields [60]. Crop phenology (e.g., sowing date, harvest date) notably determines the timing of disturbance, and herbicide intensity and tillage depth dictate the intensity of disturbance. In addition, the soils of cultivated habitats are extremely rich in resources because fertilization and irrigation provide large amounts of nutrient and water. Although fertilization and irrigation mostly benefit the crop species, the amount of nutrient and water supplies are such that they remain largely non-limiting for weeds [103]. By contrast, the amounts of space and light that are available for weeds are strongly limited by the presence of the crop species that produce most of the standing biomass in agricultural fields. The amount of aboveground resources that are preempted by the crop varies according to crop height, lateral spread, and sowing density [104].

The rapid monopolization of space and light by one species in a regularly disturbed habitat is specific to cultivated fields [4]. In non-cultivated ecosystems, disturbance releases resources by destroying biomass, and regularly disturbed habitats generally show high levels of resource availability [105]. By contrast, in cultivated fields, crop characteristics are more important than disturbances in dictating the amount of resources that are available to weeds, and the positive covariance between disturbance and resource gradients no longer exists. Finally, the succession of different crop species and associated farming practices within a field (i.e., crop sequences) causes major year-to-year changes in both disturbance and resource availability [60].

rely on correlative approaches and, as such, a comprehensive falsification framework is lacking for most of them [19]. Testing the robustness of these laws would allow validation, or not, of the existence of universal ecological, evolutionary, physiological, and biophysical constraints for all taxa on Earth [20,21].

Agricultural weeds appear to be good candidates for testing whether organisms can overcome the constraints and tradeoffs that determine these patterns, and consequently whether (natural or artificial) selection can act against them [15,22]. Recent comparative analyses of taxa spanning continental and global scales show that weeds are located at the margins of the functional space defined by national and global floras [23,24]. Such a position makes them potential 'functional outliers', in other words species that are functionally distinct from the rest of the global pool of species [25]. In addition, weed species are expected to have greater phenotypic plasticity than non-weeds [26,27], particularly for traits related to reproduction – allowing life-cycle completion under variable conditions [28–30]. Being at the margins of the plant functional space and having a high level of phenotypic plasticity are two key ingredients for weeds to eventually overcome ecophysiological and biophysical constraints that are assumed to limit the diversification of life (Figure 1A).

The possibility of novel trait combinations in weeds reflects the unique environmental conditions that characterize the cultivated fields. For instance, enclosed fields and the use of pesticides remove top-down regulation of plant communities by invertebrate and vertebrate herbivores in



Trends in Plant Science

**Figure 1. Whether and How Weed Species Can Break Functional Ecology Rules: Theoretical Expectations.**

(A) Functional ecology has highlighted cross-taxa trait–trait relationships that mirror the physiological and biophysiological constraints during the diversification of life. For instance, the leaf economics spectrum describes a tradeoff between photosynthetic rate (trait Y) and leaf lifespan (trait X) among many plant species [16]. Each grey dot represents a different species. Weed species have been characterized as functional outliers because they were located at the margins of the multitrait space (so-called functional space) in recent cross-taxa comparative studies. In addition, weed species are expected to display high phenotypic plasticity, which can help them to overcome the envelope of constraints imposed by functional ecological laws. (B) The CSR (competitor species/stress-tolerant species/ruderal species) theory delineates a triangle of tenable strategies of species based on the characteristics of the habitat where they live, namely resources and disturbances. However, when decoupling resources into aboveground and belowground resources to account for the levels of resources that are available for weeds, weeds might be considered as functional outliers in this untenable triangle. Indeed, they undergo high levels of disturbance and also have access to high belowground resources, but low aboveground resources, owing to major depletion of light availability by the crop species. Such imbalance between belowground and aboveground resources is not considered in the traditional CSR model.

cropped fields. The removal of natural herbivory in cropped fields can therefore change the underlying constraints that determine the leaf economics spectrum (resource acquisition vs conservation [18]). Moreover, the novel combination of disturbance and resource levels in agricultural fields (Box 2) might have selected for weed ecological strategies that differ from the ones observed in natural ecosystems. According to the CSR model (see later), the combination of disturbance and resource gradients shape three primary plant ecological strategies that explain the diversity of the whole flora [31]. A high level of resource availability and a low level of disturbance select for species that display a combination of traits that make them good competitors ('competitor species', C). 'Stress-tolerant' (S) species occur where both resource availability and disturbance levels are low, whereas 'ruderal' (R) species are adapted to habitats where both resource availability and the levels of disturbance are high. Finally, no trait combination allows species to persist in environments where the level of disturbance is high and resource availability is low [31]. Intriguingly, the CSR scheme has been built on habitat characteristics where species are found, not on the levels of resources and disturbance that are actually perceived by the organisms. This approach may be limited in seeking to understand the functional ecology of agricultural weeds that occur in habitats characterized by high levels of resources and disturbance but that also experience severe resource depletion, notably in light, the latter being largely preempted by the crop species that is artificially dominant (Box 2). Agricultural weeds thus face repetitive disturbances in the context of strongly imbalanced resource ratios [32]. This extreme situation is not considered in the traditional CSR model where the ability of species to capture aboveground and belowground resources is assumed to covary along a stress tolerance–competition gradient (Figure 2B). The exceptional combination of disturbances and the imbalance of aboveground and belowground resources available for weeds in agricultural fields thus questions the CSR model that was developed from observations in natural ecosystems. A greater consideration of the effects of imbalanced resource availability on the evolution of plant ecological strategies will be necessary to better understand the success of weeds in cultivated habitats.

Functional ecology approaches to studying weeds are in their infancy. Although the ruderal strategy has traditionally been related to weeds, empirical evidence shows that a wider range of ecological strategies are also present in weeds [24]. In particular, weeds species show differences between those that compete with the crop and those that avoid it, as well as between species that resist or avoid disturbances [33,34]. These results suggest that the same environmental constraints may select for a variety of ecological strategies that can coexist in the same



Trends in Plant Science

**Figure 2. Phenotypic Convergence and Divergence between Wheat and the Common Corn Cockle (*Agrostemma githago*).** (Left) At the vegetative stage, the common corn cockle is virtually indistinguishable from wheat. (Right) By contrast, floral traits strongly diverge. Photo credit: Guillaume Fried.

field. Improving the characterization of the whole weed biota through the lens of functional traits will allow the identification of the species that are able to establish and persist in arable habitats (the so-called 'regional pool' in community ecology). This will inform the profiling of future weed communities and the assessment of physiological and biophysical constraints that regulate weed success and their potential to adapt.

### Challenging Community Assembly Rules

Weed science has largely focused on understanding the biology and control of individual weeds infesting cropland. However, plant species do not act independently, and are imbedded in complex interaction networks, both within and between local communities. This evidence has motivated the seminal article of Booth and Swanton [35] that calls for a shift from species- to community-level studies in weed science. Nevertheless, despite an increasing number of studies addressing weed community assembly, the rules that govern weed community dynamics remain far from clear, making predictions of the impact of any change in farming practices difficult (e.g., [36–41]). We argue that this may result from the fact that weed communities display unusual dynamics that cannot be fully captured by classical ecological theories.

Whether the assembly of ecological communities follows general rules is a fundamental but still unresolved question in community ecology [42]. One of the most challenging issues is to understand and model the combined influences of stochastic, neutral (i.e., independent from biological differences), and niche-based (i.e., biotic interactions and environmental filtering) processes on community assembly [43–45]. According to the stress-gradient hypothesis, competition should govern community assembly in productive habitats whereas harsh environmental conditions should filter stress-tolerant species [45]. By contrast, community assembly can be neutral where both competition and environmental stress are weak, for example after a disturbance that strengthens the influence of stochastic species recruitment [45]. However, weed communities occupy habitats where competition, environmental filtering, and stochastic dynamics are all extremely strong (Box 2). Intense competition arises from a preemption of space and light by the crop, which strongly reduces weed biomass [46,47]. Abiotic constraints are caused by agricultural practices such as chemical weeding and soil disturbances (i.e., tillage and mechanical weeding) that filter out species according to their sensitivity to herbicides and to their phenology, respectively [48,49]. These recurring disturbances further maintain the farmed ecosystem in the early stages of secondary succession (i.e., dominance of annuals [50]), where stochastic colonization–extinction dynamics also play an important role ([40,41,51,52]). These dynamics might, however, shift in no-till systems where the abandonment of ploughing favors more perennial weed species [7,53]. Weed communities thus represent a combination of transient species, that rely on repeated colonization from field edges, and resident species adapted to the habitat filters in the field [52]. Because of the unique combination of niche-based and neutral processes in cultivated fields, weed communities are particularly valuable for investigating how complex assembly dynamics govern species persistence and coexistence across spatial scales.

Another crucial issue in the Anthropocene is to predict the responses of communities to anthropogenic environmental changes [54,55]. Spatial variation contributes to species coexistence via the spatial storage effect [56] that allows less-competitive species to migrate and persist in communities (source–sink dynamics [57]). By contrast, temporal variation can modify the competitive hierarchy between species, allowing species to coexist over the long-term (i.e., temporal storage effect [56]). However, ecological theories implicitly assume stationary regimes of environmental variation such that some coexistence equilibrium is reached at a given time (reviewed in [55]). In the case of agricultural weeds, this fundamental assumption is violated by abrupt changes imposed by changing human activities, which prevent the system reaching any long-term stability.

Over timescales of decades, the development of new agricultural practices and the abandonment of ancient practices has strongly affected the dynamics of weed populations as some formerly rare weeds become more successful and vice versa [49,58]. Similarly, the introduction of new cultivated species within a region (e.g., rapeseed, sugar beet, sunflower in France) creates unprecedented environmental conditions that can radically change the composition of weed communities in only a few years [59]. From year to year, the sequential cultivation of different crop species within a field also causes large fluctuations of disturbance regimes and competitive interactions [60]. Such non-stationary environmental constraints should theoretically drive deviations from community equilibrium within an environment at a given time by favoring transient and delayed species responses (lag response hypothesis [55,61]). This has been verified empirically with agricultural weeds where temporal dispersal from the dormant seedbank allows the presence of weed species that reproduced successfully under previous, more suitable conditions (i.e., temporal source–sink dynamics [38,41,62]). The ability of weeds to colonize novel cropping environments over short timescales will also be related to the spatial dynamics of introductions of seed in crops and on machinery, or dispersal from surrounding habitats, involving stochastic processes and landscape composition [63,64]. Weeds thus represent an exemplary case to elaborate a 'non-equilibrium' community assembly theory, a theory that is urgently needed to better understand and anticipate plant community responses to the ongoing global changes [65].

### Weeds: Evolutionary Roadrunners?

Although scientists have long assumed that evolution proceeds slowly, an increasing number of examples of rapid evolution have been documented in wild plant species (e.g., [66,67]). Evidence of rapid phenotypic and molecular evolution challenges the classical view of the standard model of population genetics [68]. Furthermore, because ecological and evolutionary timescales overlap, ecological and evolutionary process are now known to interact, and we need to understand how evolutionary process can affect population growth rates and ecological dynamics [69]. A better understanding of rapid evolution and eco-evolutionary dynamics is particularly crucial given that these phenomena may become increasingly frequent in the Anthropocene [70] owing to the dramatic acceleration of human-driven ecological changes ('the great acceleration' [5]).

Rapid evolution is particularly frequent in agricultural fields where farming practices have caused intense but unintended selective pressures on weeds since the Neolithic. The contribution of the genetic attributes of weeds and their evolutionary dynamics (in terms of mating systems, phenotypic plasticity, and many other adaptive traits) to their capacity for rapid evolution in a new human-made environment have been repeatedly pointed out [2,4,29,71–73]. The evolution of herbicide resistance is probably the most emblematic and well-documented case of rapid evolution in plants (reviewed in [74]). Beyond herbicide resistance, rapid evolution can also affect weed demography by controlling weed–crop and weed–pathogen interactions. For example, Guo *et al.* [75] demonstrated the rapid evolution of allelopathy and pathogen resistance in the barnyard grass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*) in response to cocultivation with rice and to infection by pathogenic *Pyricularia oryzae*, respectively. In addition, many weed species rapidly evolve traits that mimic the crops to survive the selective constraints historically imposed by the farmers (Vavilovian mimicry [76,77]). For instance, there is evidence that populations of *Agrostemma githago* have adapted to mimic the size and shape of crop seed to avoid being removed during seed cleaning [78]. This species is also virtually indistinguishable from wheat during the vegetative stage (Figure 2), which also probably allows it to escape from manual weeding in traditional farming systems. Another example is the evolution of the crop mimicry syndrome in *Camelina alyssum* (Mill.) Thell. that has led to the weed reducing its phenotypic plasticity [28]. If the evolution of vegetative or seed traits has been driven by crop mimicry, by contrast weed floral traits may have become distinct from those of crops owing to divergent selection. For example, *Agrostema*



*githago* produces flowers that are clearly visible among wheat plants – presumably to attract pollinators and ensure reproduction at low plant densities in self-pollinating crop stands (Figure 2). Thomann *et al.* [79] also reported the evolution of increased capitula size in the cornflower (*Cyanus segetum*) in parallel with pollinator decline in the agrosystems of northern Europe during the 1990s. However, the generalization of contrasting selection pressures on vegetative and floral traits in weeds, as well as the mechanisms of convergent and divergent evolution, remain an open question.

The realization that evolution can occur on short timescales suggests the existence of reciprocal interactions between ecological and evolutionary dynamics [69]. Although a growing number of studies show that rapid trait evolution can drive ecological dynamics on contemporary timescales, there are few empirical evidence of feedback from these altered ecological interactions on the evolutionary responses of plant communities [80]. Recently, Baucom [74] argued that weed communities exposed to herbicides provide an attractive system to study such eco-evolutionary feedback. Indeed, the emergence of resistance boosts the demography of resistant populations in agrosystems that can in turn affect pollinator communities and disease prevalence. The resulting changes in biotic interactions between weeds and other trophic levels can in turn promote the evolution of new weed species traits (Figure 1 in [74]). An important and still unresolved question here is to identify functional traits that can drive rapid evolution and eco-evolutionary dynamics. Plant genome size (GS) might be such a trait because it simultaneously controls evolutionary rates and several important plant functional traits such as plant relative growth rate and generation time [81,82]. Intriguingly, Bennett [83] reported that GS was smaller in weeds than in non-weeds, although polyploidy was more common in weeds. This is surprising given that plant GS positively correlates with the amount of repetitive DNA that results from hybridization and/or polyploidy (at least soon after such polyploidization events occur [84]). Antagonistic forces may therefore drive plant GS size and ploidy level in agricultural weeds.

Finally, archeological findings provide both a chronology of agricultural innovations and a parallel record of associated weed floras from archaeological remains (e.g., [85,86]), making agricultural weeds remarkable models for understanding the genetic basis of rapid evolution as well as the evolutionary trajectories of complex traits in natural populations. Progress in ancient DNA sequencing techniques makes it possible to scan whole genomes of weed historical samples to detect candidate genes under selection. On a shorter timescale, resurrection ecology [87] and museum specimen analysis [88] can also be relevant methodologies to investigate weed trait evolution and its genetic and epigenetic underpinnings over hundreds to a few dozens of generations. Weeds are particularly useful for this approach because most of these species are annuals and produce numerous seeds that persist in soil seedbank for decades [89]. Recent resurrection experiments on weed species have for example revealed rapid evolution of herbicide and drought resistance, pathogen susceptibility, phenology, floral traits and pollination biology, and adaptive plasticity [79,87,90]. Coupling resurrection ecology with genome-wide association mapping will be a key approach for understanding the genetic basis of rapid evolution of multiple and complex traits in response to documented selective pressures (e.g., [91]).

## Concluding Remarks

Understanding the impacts of human activities on ecological and evolutionary dynamics will require revisiting ecological theories that were initially developed for natural ecosystems (see Outstanding Questions). Pivotal to this is the integration of reciprocal interactions between human activities and ecological and evolutionary processes. Because weed evolutionary history and ecological dynamics are linked intrinsically to human activities, these species have great potential to become a valuable model in ecology and evolution. Nevertheless, weeds are absent

## Outstanding Questions

Can weed demography be explained by variation in their functional traits?

How does spatial and temporal seed dispersal shape weed communities?  
How do spatial and temporal variations of environmental conditions affect the assembly of weed communities?

How stable are weed assemblages in the face of the current weed species extinction?

How has adaptation to the agricultural niche during agriculture expansion contributed to the diversification of regional species pools?

What are the respective influences of evolutionary and ecological processes on weed genome size?



from most ongoing efforts of global biodiversity and trait databases, or at least their peculiarities are not recognized (e.g., owing to lack of vegetation plot data in cropping systems, lack of data on intraspecific trait variation). We urge (numerical) ecologists not to discard the amazing source of information emerging from weed species and their associated habitats. Field ecologists might have also overlooked widespread cultivated habitats compared with rare and emblematic habitats. However, studying plant community assembly using weed communities is an attractive prospect given that assembly processes can be more easily identified, deciphered, and quantified. Finally, weeds, in virtue of their short life cycles and relatively simple genomes, appear to be preferential experimental models for ecology and evolution. Let ecologists and evolutionists seize the weeds!

### Acknowledgments

This paper was produced with support of the French Foundation for Research on Biodiversity (FRB; [www.fondationbiodiversite.fr](http://www.fondationbiodiversite.fr)) as part of the activities of the DISCO-WEED Working Group CESAB (Centre de Synthèse et d'Analyse sur la Biodiversité) project. We thank Sabrina Gaba and Jacques Caneill for helpful discussions. C.V. was supported by European Research Council (ERC) starting grant project 'Ecophysiological and Biophysical Constraints on Domestication in Crop Plants' (grant ERC-StG-2014-639706-CONSTRAINTS), the FRB, and the European Development Fund (EDF) in the context of CESAB project 'Causes and Consequences of Functional Rarity from Local to Global Scales' (FREE).

### References

- Radeloff, V.C. *et al.* (2015) The rise of novelty in ecosystems. *Ecol. Appl.* 25, 2051–2068
- Mohler, C.L. (2001) Weed evolution and community structure. In *Ecological Management of Agricultural Weeds* (Liebman, M. *et al.*, eds), pp. 444–493, Cambridge University Press
- Foley, J.A. *et al.* (2011) Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature* 478, 337–342
- Clements, D.R. *et al.* (2004) Adaptability of plants invading North American cropland. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 104, 379–398
- Steffen, W. *et al.* (2015) The trajectory of the Anthropocene: the great acceleration. *Anthr. Rev.* 2, 81–98
- Bretagnolle, V. and Gaba, S. (2015) Weeds for bees? A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 35, 891–909
- Nichols, V. *et al.* (2015) Weed dynamics and conservation agriculture principles: a review. *Field Crops Res.* 183, 56–68
- Oerke, E.-C. (2006) Crop losses to pests. *J. Agric. Sci.* 144, 31
- Adeux, G. *et al.* (2019) Mitigating crop yield losses through weed diversity. *Nat. Sustain.* 2, 1018–1026
- Neve, P. *et al.* (2018) Reviewing research priorities in weed ecology, evolution and management: a horizon scan. *Weed Res.* 58, 250–258
- Grime, J.P. (1987) Research philosophies in functional ecology. *Funct. Ecol.* 1, 71
- West, G.B. (1997) A general model for the origin of allometric scaling laws in biology. *Science* 276, 122–126
- Grubb, P.J. (2016) Trade-offs in interspecific comparisons in plant ecology and how plants overcome proposed constraints. *Plant Ecol. Divers.* 9, 3–33
- Violle, C. *et al.* (2014) The emergence and promise of functional biogeography. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 13690–13696
- Donovan, L.A. *et al.* (2011) The evolution of the worldwide leaf economics spectrum. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 26, 88–95
- Brown, J.H. *et al.* (2004) Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology* 85, 1771–1789
- Reich, P.B. (2014) The world-wide 'fast-slow' plant economics spectrum: a traits manifesto. *J. Ecol.* 102, 275–301
- Wright, I.J. *et al.* (2004) The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. *Nature* 428, 821–827
- Enquist, B.J. (2010) Wanted: a general and predictive theory for trait-based plant ecology. *BioScience* 60, 854–855
- Shoval, O. *et al.* (2012) Evolutionary trade-offs, Pareto optimality, and the geometry of phenotype space. *Science* 336, 1157–1160
- Osnas, J.L.D. *et al.* (2018) Divergent drivers of leaf trait variation within species, among species, and among functional groups. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, 5480–5485
- Barton, N. and Partridge, L. (2000) Limits to natural selection. *Bioessays* 22, 1075–1084
- Díaz, S. *et al.* (2016) The global spectrum of plant form and function. *Nature* 529, 167–171
- Bourgeois, B. *et al.* (2020) What makes a weed a weed? A large-scale evaluation of arable weeds through a functional lens. *Am. J. Bot.* 106, 90–100
- Violle, C. *et al.* (2017) Functional rarity: the ecology of outliers. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 32, 356–367
- Gardarin, A. *et al.* (2012) Modeling the dynamics and emergence of a multispecies weed seed bank with species traits. *Ecol. Model.* 240, 123–138
- Munier-Jolain, N.M. *et al.* (2014) Investigating and modelling the morphological plasticity of weeds. *Field Crop Res.* 155, 90–98
- Bradshaw, A.D. (1965) Evolutionary significance of phenotypic plasticity in plants. In *Advances in Genetics Vol. 13* (Caspari, E.W. and Thoday, J.M., eds), pp. 115–155, Academic Press
- Baker, H.G. (1974) The evolution of weeds. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 5, 1–24
- Mason, C.M. *et al.* (2015) Low inbreeding depression and high plasticity under abiotic stress in the tall morningglory (*Ipomoea purpurea*). *Weed Sci.* 63, 864–876
- Grime, J. (1977) Evidence for existence of three primary strategies in plants and its relevance to ecological and evolutionary theory. *Am. Nat.* 111, 1169–1194
- Cardinale, B.J. *et al.* (2009) Separating the influence of resource 'availability' from resource 'imbalance' on productivity–diversity relationships. *Ecol. Lett.* 12, 475–487
- Storkey, J. (2006) A functional group approach to the management of UK arable weeds to support biological diversity. *Weed Res.* 46, 513–522
- Fried, G. *et al.* (2010) A specialist-generalist classification of the arable flora and its response to changes in agricultural practices. *BMC Ecol.* 10, 20
- Booth, B.D. and Swanton, C.J. (2002) Assembly theory applied to weed communities. *Weed Sci.* 50, 2–13
- Pyšek, P. *et al.* (2005) Effects of abiotic factors on species richness and cover in Central European weed communities. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 109, 1–8
- Fried, G. *et al.* (2008) Environmental and management factors determining weed species composition and diversity in France. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 128, 68–76
- Ryan, M.R. *et al.* (2010) Management filters and species traits: weed community assembly in long-term organic and conventional systems. *Weed Sci.* 58, 265–277

39. Pinke, G. *et al.* (2012) The influence of environment, management and site context on species composition of summer arable weed vegetation in Hungary. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 15, 136–144
40. Perronne, R. *et al.* (2015) Stochastic processes and crop types shape weed community assembly in arable fields. *J. Veg. Sci.* 26, 348–359
41. Mahaut, L. *et al.* (2018) Patch dynamics and temporal dispersal partly shape annual plant communities in ephemeral habitat patches. *Oikos* 127, 147–159
42. Lawton, J.H. (1999) Are there general laws in ecology? *Oikos* 84, 177
43. Leibold, M.A. and McPeck, M.A. (2006) Coexistence of the niche and neutral perspectives in community ecology. *Ecology* 87, 1399–1410
44. Adler, P.B. *et al.* (2007) A niche for neutrality. *Ecol. Lett.* 10, 95–104
45. Chase, J.M. and Myers, J.A. (2011) Disentangling the importance of ecological niches from stochastic processes across scales. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 366, 2351–2363
46. Wagner, M. *et al.* (2017) Cereal density and N-fertiliser effects on the flora and biodiversity value of arable headlands. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 26, 85–102
47. Gaba, S. *et al.* (2018) Crop competition in winter wheat has a higher potential than farming practices to regulate weeds. *Ecosphere* 9, e02413
48. Crawley, M.J. (2004) Timing of disturbance and coexistence in a species-rich ruderal plant community. *Ecology* 85, 3277–3288
49. Fried, G. *et al.* (2015) Weed flora shifts and specialisation in winter oilseed rape in France. *Weed Res.* 55, 514–524
50. Crews, T.E. *et al.* (2016) Going where no grains have gone before: from early to mid-succession. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 223, 223–238
51. Henckel, L. *et al.* (2015) Organic fields sustain weed metacommunity dynamics in farmland landscapes. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 282, 20150002
52. Metcalfe, H. *et al.* (2019) The contribution of spatial mass effects to plant diversity in arable fields. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 56, 1560–1574
53. Blackshaw, R.E. *et al.* (2001) Tillage intensity and crop rotation affect weed community dynamics in a winter wheat cropping system. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 81, 805–813
54. Wolkovich, E.M. *et al.* (2014) Temporal ecology in the Anthropocene. *Ecol. Lett.* 17, 1365–1379
55. Blonder, B. *et al.* (2017) Predictability in community dynamics. *Ecol. Lett.* 20, 293–306
56. Chesson, P. (2000) Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 31, 343–366
57. Mouquet, N. and Loreau, M. (2003) Community patterns in source-sink metacommunities. *Am. Nat.* 162, 544–557
58. Fried, G. *et al.* (2012) Trajectories of weed communities explained by traits associated with species? response to management practices. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 158, 147–155
59. Fried, G. *et al.* (2009) A functional analysis of large-scale temporal shifts from 1970 to 2000 in weed assemblages of sunflower crops in France. *J. Veg. Sci.* 20, 49–58
60. Mahaut, L. *et al.* (2019) A functional diversity approach of crop sequences reveals that weed diversity and abundance show different responses to environmental variability. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 56, 1400–1409
61. Svenning, J.-C. and Sandel, B. (2013) Disequilibrium vegetation dynamics under future climate change. *Am. J. Bot.* 100, 1266–1286
62. Leon, R.G. *et al.* (2015) Weed seed banks are more dynamic in a sod-based, than in a conventional, peanut-cotton rotation. *Weed Sci.* 63, 877–887
63. Gabriel, D. *et al.* (2005) Local diversity of arable weeds increases with landscape complexity. *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 7, 85–93
64. Gaba, S. *et al.* (2010) Weed species richness in winter wheat increases with landscape heterogeneity. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 138, 318–323
65. Mouquet, N. *et al.* (2015) Predictive ecology in a changing world. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 52, 1293–1310
66. Maron, J.L. *et al.* (2004) Rapid evolution of an invasive plant. *Ecol. Monogr.* 74, 261–280
67. Williams, J.L. *et al.* (2016) Rapid evolution accelerates plant population spread in fragmented experimental landscapes. *Science* 353, 482–485
68. Messer, P.W. *et al.* (2016) Can population genetics adapt to rapid evolution? *Trends Genet.* 32, 408–418
69. Schoener, T.W. (2011) The newest synthesis: understanding the interplay of evolutionary and ecological dynamics. *Science* 331, 426–429
70. Hoffmann, A.A. and Sgrò, C.M. (2011) Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. *Nature* 470, 479–485
71. Kuester, A. *et al.* (2017) Shifts in outcrossing rates and changes to floral traits are associated with the evolution of herbicide resistance in the common morning glory. *Ecol. Lett.* 20, 41–49
72. Guo, L. *et al.* (2018) Genomic clues for crop-weed interactions and evolution. *Trends Plant Sci.* 23, 1102–1115
73. Barrett, S.C.H. (1992) Genetics of weed invasions. In *Applied Population Biology* (Jain, S.K. and Botsford, L.W., eds), pp. 91–119. Springer, Netherlands
74. Baucum, R.S. (2019) Evolutionary and ecological insights from herbicide-resistant weeds: what have we learned about plant adaptation, and what is left to uncover? *New Phytol.* 223, 68–82
75. Guo, L. *et al.* (2017) *Echinochloa crus-galli* genome analysis provides insight into its adaptation and invasiveness as a weed. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1031
76. McElroy, J.S. (2014) Vavilovian mimicry: Nikolai Vavilov and his little-known impact on weed science. *Weed Sci.* 62, 207–216
77. Barrett, S.H. (1983) Crop mimicry in weeds. *Econ. Bot.* 37, 255–282
78. Firbank, L.G. and Watkinson, A.R. (1986) Modelling the population dynamics of an arable weed and its effects upon crop yield. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 23, 147–159
79. Thomann, M. *et al.* (2015) Contemporary evolution of plant reproductive strategies under global change is revealed by stored seeds. *J. Evol. Biol.* 28, 766–778
80. Shefferson, R.P. and Salguero-Gómez, R. (2015) Eco-evolutionary dynamics in plants: interactive processes at overlapping time-scales and their implications. *J. Ecol.* 103, 789–797
81. Knight, C.A. *et al.* (2005) The large genome constraint hypothesis: evolution, ecology and phenotype. *Ann. Bot.* 95, 177–190
82. Pellicer, J. *et al.* (2018) Genome size diversity and its impact on the evolution of land plants. *Genes* 9, 88
83. Bennett, M.D. *et al.* (1998) DNA amounts in two samples of angiosperm weeds. *Ann. Bot.* 82, 121–134
84. Vicent, C.M. and Casacuberta, J.M. (2017) Impact of transposable elements on polyploid plant genomes. *Ann. Bot.* 120, 195–207
85. Styring, A.K. *et al.* (2017) Isotope evidence for agricultural extensification reveals how the world's first cities were fed. *Nat. Plants* 3, 17076
86. Coward, F. *et al.* (2008) The spread of Neolithic plant economies from the Near East to northwest Europe: a phylogenetic analysis. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 35, 42–56
87. Franks, S.J. (2016) A harvest of weeds yields insight into a case of contemporary evolution. *Mol. Ecol.* 25, 4421–4423
88. Hahn, E.E. *et al.* (2020) Museum epigenomics: charting the future by unlocking the past. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 35, 295–300
89. Lewis, J. (1973) Longevity of crop and weed seeds: survival after 20 years in soil. *Weed Res.* 13, 179–191
90. Gomez, R. *et al.* (2018) Quantifying temporal change in plant population attributes: insights from a resurrection approach. *Ambio* 10, 1063
91. Frachon, L. *et al.* (2017) Intermediate degrees of synergistic pleiotropy drive adaptive evolution in ecological time. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 1551–1561
92. Vigueira, C.C. *et al.* (2013) The red queen in the corn: agricultural weeds as models of rapid adaptive evolution. *Heredity* 110, 303–311
93. Boivin, N.L. *et al.* (2016) Ecological consequences of human niche construction: Examining long-term anthropogenic shaping of global species distributions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, 6388–6396

94. Larson, G. *et al.* (2014) Current perspectives and the future of domestication studies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 6139–6146
95. Snir, A. *et al.* (2015) The origin of cultivation and proto-weeds, long before Neolithic farming. *PLoS One* 10, e0131422
96. Iriondo, J.M. *et al.* (2018) Reproductive traits and evolutionary divergence between Mediterranean crops and their wild relatives. *Plant Biol.* 20, 78–88
97. Janzen, G.M. *et al.* (2019) The extent of adaptive wild introgression in crops. *New Phytol.* 221, 1279–1288
98. Cornille, A. *et al.* (2016) Genomic signature of successful colonization of Eurasia by the allopolyploid shepherd's purse (*Capsella bursa-pastoris*). *Mol. Ecol.* 25, 616–629
99. Fischer, M.A. (1987) On the origin of *Veronica persica* (Scrophulariaceae) – a contribution to the history of a neophytic weed. *Plant Syst. Evol.* 155, 105–132
100. Krak, K. *et al.* (2019) Human-mediated dispersal of weed species during the Holocene: a case study of *Chenopodium album* agg. *J. Biogeogr.* 46, 1007–1019
101. Li, S. *et al.* (2015) Species colonisation, not competitive exclusion, drives community overdispersion over long-term succession. *Ecol. Lett.* 18, 964–973
102. Charbonneau, A. *et al.* (2018) Weed evolution: genetic differentiation among wild, weedy, and crop radish. *Evol. Appl.* 11, 1964–1974
103. Gaba, S. *et al.* (2014) Agroecological weed control using a functional approach: a review of cropping systems diversity. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 34, 103–119
104. Gunton, R.M. *et al.* (2011) Functional traits relating arable weed communities to crop characteristics. *J. Veg. Sci.* 22, 541–550
105. White, P.S. and Jentsch, A. (2001) The search for generality in studies of disturbance and ecosystem dynamics. *Prog. Bot.* 62, 399–449